



“Use of Bacteria- and Fungus-Binding Mesh in Negative Pressure Wound Therapy Provides Significant Granulation Tissue Without Tissue Ingrowth.” (Malmsjö)

- **Objective:** To compare Sorbact[®], foam, and gauze in NPWT with regard to granulation tissue formation and ingrowth of wound bed tissue into the wound filler of porcine wound model.
- **Results:**
 - Sorbact[®] produced more granulation tissue, leukocyte infiltration, and tissue disorganization in the wound bed than gauze, but less than foam.
 - All 3 wound fillers caused micro-deformation of the wound bed surface.
 - Increased force needed to remove foam due to tissue in-growth.
 - Foam produced thicker, more fragile granulation tissue, which may lead to scarring, fibrosis, and contractures.
 - Sorbact[®] produced thinner, denser, and stable granulation tissue (more than gauze).

Conclusions:

- Sorbact[®] leads to a significant amount of granulation tissue in the wound bed, more than with gauze.
- Sorbact[®] eliminates the problems of tissue ingrowth into the wound filler.
- Sorbact[®] has the advantage of being antimicrobial and easy to apply.